

# Uncertainty characterization of microwave and sub-millimeter in all-sky radiative transfer

## Vasileios Barlakas

Annual Fellow Day 02.03.2020



## **ECMWF**

## EUMETSAT



## **1** Introduction

- **②** Three-dimensional effects in ice retrievals
- **③** Intercomparison study: ARTS vs RTTOV (-SCATT)
- **④** Particle orientation in data assimilation
- **5** Outlook



## Why ice clouds?

CHALMERS

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- Cover  $\sim 30\%$  of the Earth Ο
- A significant role in the energy budget Ο
- Large uncertainties in numerical weather Ο prediction (NWP) and climate models





## • Why ice clouds?

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- $\circ$  Cover ~30% of the Earth
- A significant role in the energy budget
- Large uncertainties in numerical weather prediction (NWP) and climate models
- Why microwave (MW) and sub-mm?
- The assimilation of MW observations comprises ~40% of the observation impact.
- Sensitive to both large and small ice hydrometeors.



Nasa/JPL-Caltech



0%

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- $\circ$  Cover ~30% of the Earth
- A significant role in the energy budget
- Large uncertainties in numerical weather prediction (NWP) and climate models
- Why microwave (MW) and sub-mm?
- The assimilation of MW observations comprises ~40% of the observation impact.
- Sensitive to both large and small ice hydrometeors.

### Ice Cloud Imager (ICI)

- o 183.31–664 GHz (15 km footprint)
- Improved ice cloud representation
- Extend the scope of MW assimilations



Nasa/JPL-Caltech

Atmospheric Infra-Red Sounder (AIRS)

June 2016

Mean occurrence [%]

100%



## **CREATE** – <u>C</u>haracterizing and <u>**RE</u>ducing uncertainties in <u>All-sky</u> microwave** radiative <u>TransfE</u>r</u>

Sensors:

- O Microwave Imager (MWI): 18.7 183.31 GHz
- Ice Cloud Imager (ICI): 183.31 664 GHz



## **CREATE** – <u>C</u>haracterizing and <u>**RE</u>ducing uncertainties in <u>All-sky</u> microwave** radiative <u>TransfEr</u></u>

Sensors:

- O Microwave Imager (MWI): 18.7 183.31 GHz
- Ice Cloud Imager (ICI): 183.31 664 GHz

# In stand-alone retrievals and data assimilation (DA), several assumptions are still employed:

 $\bigcirc$  three-dimensional (3D) radiative transfer is ignored,

 $\bigcirc$  totally randomly oriented hydrometeors are only considered.

Models:

- O ARTS
- O RTTOV (-SCATT)

=> Prepare for all-sky assimilation of ICI data



UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

# Three Dimensional Radiative Effects in Passive **mm/sub-mm** All-sky Observations





000000

Barlakas and Eriksson., Remote Sens., 2020



- Calculation modes 3D, IBA, 1D
- 1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)



2D slice of 3D



- Calculation modes 3D, IBA, 1D
- 1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)





2D slice of 3D



- Calculation modes 3D, IBA, 1D
- 1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)



β <sub>1</sub>	$\beta_2$	ß <sub>3</sub>
β <sub>4</sub>	$\beta_5$	$\beta_6$
<b>β</b> <sub>7</sub>	β <sub>8</sub>	<b>β</b> 9

2D slice of 3D



- Calculation modes 3D, IBA, 1D
- 1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)





2D slice of 3D



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)



2D slice of 3D



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)



2D slice of 3D

Nadir view



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)



2D slice of 3D

Nadir view



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)



2D slice of 3D

Nadir view



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)



2D slice of 3D



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- 2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)
- 3) Plane-parallel approx. (1D) mode (DISORT)
  - / Hydrometeor Number Density average (HND-avg)
  - ✓ Hydrometeor Content average (HC-avg)













$$\begin{array}{c|c} & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

IBA

**1D** 



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- 2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)
- 3) Plane-parallel approx. (1D) mode (DISORT)
  - Hydrometeor Number Density average (HND-avg)
  - ✓ Hydrometeor Content average (HC-avg)





2)







2D slice of 3D

IBA

1**D** 



2D slice of 3D



1) A **3D** mode (ARTS-MC)

CHALMERS

- 2) Independent Beam Approx. (IBA) mode (DISORT)
- 3) Plane-parallel approx. (1D) mode (DISORT)
  - ✓ Hydrometeor Number Density average (HND-avg)
  - ✓ Hydrometeor Content average (HC-avg)









**3D vs IBA** Horizontal Photon Transport (HPT) effect => Neglect of HPT along areas with different properties

IBA vs 1D Beam-Filling (BF) effect => Neglect of domain heterogeneities

3D vs 1D Total Effect



#### ERA data

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

#### CloudSat dBz









UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

Scene generator

#### CloudSat dBz









UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY



#### CloudSat overpasses:

- Tropics: 30 (July 2015) =>55 scenes 0
- Mid-Latitudes: 29 (January 2015)=> 58 scenes Ο
- Each scene: 160 km by 200 km 0















## **D** Summary

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- The horizontal photon transport effect induces a slight overestimation and chiefly random errors. Thus, 3D simulations could be replaced by a bias correction in the forward model.
- The total effect is consistent with the BF effect. The root mean square error (RMSE) in:
  ✓ 1DVAR<sup>1</sup> retrievals, it can be ~14 K at the highest frequency and footprint size.
  - ✓ Data assimilation (183 GHz and footprints between 9 and 36 km ) is above ~4 K.
- $\circ$  A significant beam-filling (BF) effect that increases primarily with frequency and, secondly, with footprint size and slant path; RMSE up to ~14 K.
- Independent beam approximation (IBA) is a necessity (e.g., retrieval databases).
- $\circ$  At mid-latitudes 3D effects are less pronounced due to less ice and more H<sub>2</sub>O absorption.
- A statistical correction scheme by means of a multiplication factor has been developed that compels the errors induced by the 3D effects to be more symmetric (up to 3.2 K).

## **Outlook**

- Explore the use or the development of correction schemes for the BF effect at mm/sub-mm.
- Particle orientation and 3D effects including polarization.

<sup>1</sup> 1D variational retrievals: AMSU-B ATMS, GMI, MHS, SSMIS, ICI, MWI,...

Barlakas and Eriksson., Remote Sens., 2020



## **D** Assumptions in RTTOV – SCATT

- Scattering of ice hydrometeors is treated in a simplified matter
  - Following Geer and Baordo (2014)
- It applies a two-stream approximation.
- Data is extracted column-wise and maximum cloud overlap is assumed.
- Three-dimensional effects are neglected.
- Particle orientation and polarization.

Objectives:

- By means of ARTS characterize and improve the accuracy of RTTOV-SCATT
- Prepare RTTOV–SCATT for ICI.



## **Assumptions in RTTOV – SCATT**

- Scattering of ice hydrometeors is treated in a simplified matter
  - Following Geer and Baordo (2014)
- It applies a two-stream approx 0

CHALMERS

- Are there any systematic or random oud overlap is assumed. Data is extracted column-wise and  $\bigcirc$
- Three-dimensional effects are neglected. 0
- Particle orientation and polarization.  $\bigcirc$

#### **Objectives**:

- By means of ARTS characterize and improve the accuracy ARTTOV–SCATT 0
- Prepare RTTOV–SCATT for ICI. 0

#### ARTS vs RRTOV (-SCATT):

- Clear-sky conditions Ο
- All-sky conditions Ο



## **Assumptions in RTTOV – SCATT**

- Scattering of ice hydrometeors is treated in a simplified matter
  - Following Geer and Baordo (2014)

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

- Following
  At applies a two-stream approx
  Data is extracted column-wise and hors in RTTO's in RTTO Are there any systematic or random oud overlap is assumed.  $\bigcirc$
- 0
- $\bigcirc$

#### **Objectives**:

- By means of ARTS characterize and improve the accuracy ARTTOV-SCATT 0
- Prepare RTTOV–SCATT for ICI. 0

#### ARTS vs RRTOV (-SCATT):

- Clear–sky conditions Ο
- All-sky conditions Ο

#### <u>Currently</u>:

- Microwave Humidity Sounder (MHS) Ο
- **ARTS Scattering database**

#### ARTS scattering database

- 34 freq.: 1-886.4 GHz
- 34 particle models (PM) Ο
- 35-45 sizes per PM Ο
- Method: DDA 0

Eriksson et al., 2018













0000



**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY



![](_page_34_Picture_0.jpeg)

0000

![](_page_34_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Settings:

- o US standard atmosphere
- $\circ$  Surface emissivity = 1
- Rain (Liquid spheres; Marshal & Palmer)
- Snow (LargePlateAggregate; Field 1997)
- Cloud ice water (6-BulletRosette; Field 1997)

![](_page_35_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **D** Summary

CHALMERS

- An excellent agreement is found between ARTS and RTTOV (clear-sky): Errors are below  $\pm 0.1$  K. For large earth incident angles, errors increase  $\pm 0.2$  K due to interpolation.
- $\circ$  A good agreement is found between ARTS and RTTOV–SCATT (all–sky): Errors are below ± 4 K subject to hydrometeor content and interplolation.

## Outlook

- Further explore the discrepancies between ARTS and RTTOV–SCATT: optical properties.
- It applies a two-stream approximation.
- Data is extracted column-wise and maximum cloud overlap is assumed.
- Three-dimensional effects are neglected.

![](_page_36_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Particle orientation – Assumptions**

![](_page_36_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Picture_3.jpeg)

Oriented non-spherical particles produce polarization difference

## **Assumptions in RTTOV-SCATT**

- Totally randomly oriented hydrometeors only
- "Scalar" radiative transfer simulations only, i.e., V- or H-polarization
- Invariant scattering properties between V- and H-simulations

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Particle orientation – Framework**

#### 000000

## D Methods and Tools

**CHALMERS** 

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

• Framework following Gong and Wu 2017,

$$\rho = \frac{\tau_{\mathsf{H}}}{\tau_{\mathsf{V}}} = \frac{\tau(1+\alpha)}{\tau(1-\alpha)}, \quad 1.2 \le \rho \le 1.4$$

- $\circ$  Experiments varying  $\rho$  (& shape to be applied)
- GMI observations at 166 GHz (V/H)

![](_page_37_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

#### **Particle orientation – Framework**

#### 00000

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

#### 000000

![](_page_39_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_39_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

#### 000000

![](_page_40_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

## **Polarization differences (PDs) at 166 GHz**

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **P**olarization differences (PDs) at 166 GHz

![](_page_42_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

## **P**olarization differences (PDs) at 166 GHz

![](_page_43_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **P**olarization differences (PDs) at 166 GHz

![](_page_44_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Histograms of PDs at 166 GHz

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Picture_0.jpeg)

UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY

### • Histograms of differences in PDs at 166 GHz

![](_page_46_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **D** Summary

CHALMERS

- The current modeling framework fails to identify the measured polarization.
- A simple modeling framework was developed to account for particle orientation.
- $\circ$  Scaling the cloud optical thickness in V– and H–polarization by  $\rho$  =1.22 diminishes the differences in the observerd polarization differences by an order of magnitude.
- Snow is found to induce the observed polarization differences.
- The observed polarization difference value range in this study therefore can be translated into a 12% ice water path retrieval error if polarization is neglected.

## Outlook

- Increase the robustness of the statistics.
- $\circ~$  Sensitivity study of the scaling factor  $\rho.$
- Make use of a more realistic particle habits; ARTS scattering database
- Improve current scattering database:

#### Azimuthally randomly oriented ice hydrometeors (Brath et al., 2019)

![](_page_48_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **D** Three-dimensional effects in retrievals

- Explore the use or the development of correction schemes for the BF effect at mm/sub-mm.
- Particle orientation and 3D effects including polarization.

## Intercomparison study

- Further explore the discrepancies between ARTS and RTTOV–SCATT: optical properties.
- It applies a two-stream approximation.
- Data is extracted column-wise and maximum cloud overlap is assumed.
- Three-dimensional effects are neglected.

## Particle orientation

- Increase the robustness of the statistics.
- $\circ~$  Sensitivity study of the scaling factor  $\rho.$
- Make use of a more realistic particle habits; ARTS scattering database
- Improve current scattering database:

#### Azimuthally randomly oriented ice hydrometeors (Brath et al., 2019)