Evaluating lightning detection signatures at different technologies: A contribution to GOES-R and MTG

Rachel I. Albrecht¹, Carlos A. Morales¹, Marcelo F. Saba², Hartmut Höller³

... and many others that participated in CHUVA-GLM Vale do Paraiba field experiment

- ¹ University of São Paulo, Brazil
- ² National Institute for Space Research, Brazil
- ³ German Aerospace Center, Germany











Motivation

- Different technologies are being used to create proxy data for GOES-R GLM and MTG-LI:
 - different frequencies (e.g., ELF-VHF, optical), detection type (sky/ground waves, line of sight, electrical and/or magnetic fields), location methodology (TOA or interferometry)
- Understanding the differences between each lightning detection network is import before assessing their detection efficiency.



Objective

- Our goal is to describe what each technology measures/detects in respect to LMA sources.
- Assuming that the Lightning Mapping Array (LMA) can capture the majority of lightning discharge processes:
 - correlate LMA sources/flashes in space and time with 9 other Lightning Location Systems (LLS)
 - What are these LLS measuring? (*sferics, leaders, return strokes, cloud pulses* or a *complete lightning channel*)

Data

- Lightning data from CHUVA Project:
 - CHUVA = Cloud processes of tHe main precipitation systems in Brazil: A contribUtion to cloud resolVing modeling and to the GPM (GlobAl Precipitation Measurement))
 - CHUVA = "rain" in Portuguese
- As part of GOES-R and MTG ground validation activities, CHUVA-GLM Vale do Paraíba field experiment collected lightning data from 10 different LLS in São Paulo, Brazil, from November 2011-December 2012.

Data

Deployed total lightning networks :



Operational networks : RINDAT (LF)

STARNET (VLF) WWLLN (VLF) GLD360 (VLF) ATDnet (VLF) BrasilDAT (ELF-LF) 6 High Speed Video Cameras



8 Field-Mills



Satellite Observations : GOES-12 MSG





Methodology

- Lightning sources, strokes and optical pulses used in this study are those that occurred during TRMM LIS overpasses.
- Area of study:
 - rectangle around SPLMA with up to 10km of distance from the outermost sensors
 - LMA, LINET, denser-EN, and Vaisala TLS best detection efficiency and location accuracy (*)





Methodology

LMA data as reference

- Grouped LMA into flashes (SPLMA level_2) Bailey et al. 2014 (ICAE).
- Selected LMA flashes that occurred within LIS view time and within our area of study, but also allowing sources outside this area to complete LMA flashes.
- Only orbits with more than 10 LMA flashes (w/ 10+ sources each) are considered.

Space and time matching with LMA sources

- each stroke/source/pulse was matched to sources of LMA flashes
- $\Delta t = 330 \text{ ms}$ (used by LIS to compose a flash)
- $\Delta s = 50$ km (to account for location accuracy of long range LLS).

High Speed Camera

• At least one negative CG recorded in video during a LIS overpass.

Results (Part I)

- Statistics from a single LIS overpass (Orbit #81108):
 - Time difference (Δt) distributions of:
 - LMA individual source matched to LLS stroke/source/pulse
 - LMA first source of matched flash to LLS stroke/source/pulse
 - Space difference (Δ s) distributions of:
 - LMA individual source matched to LLS stroke/source/pulse



LIS orbit #81108 - 2012-02-10 19:00

area of study

LIS orbit #81108 - 2012-02-10 19:01:34 (90s of viewtime) - 165 LMA flashes



ds (str to src) (km)

ds (str to src) (km)

Where are in altitude are most of LMA sources when also detected by other networks?

 Frequency of occurrence of STARNET strokes and LIS pulses as a function of median height of sources from matched LMA flash



Examples of LMA flashes matching LLS strokes/pulses:

LMA flash (id = **2591**):

- IC flash:
 - detected by LMA VHF
 - detected by LIN LF
 - detected by LIS optical
- Breakdown propagating to high levels of the cloud (>9 km):
 - LIS detected flash
 - LIS flash location tends to be positioned at the region with higher altitude sources



High-Speed Video Camera (PRELIMINARY RESULTS):

Negative CG flash:

- One negative CG recorded in video during a LIS overpass:
 - Orbit #81576 2012-03-11, LIS flash starting at 19:01:34 UTC
- The recordings were done by a Phantom v711 high-speed video camera located at a distance of ~15 km from the CG strike.
- The frame rate used was 4,000 images per second. Each image is time stamped with GPS precision.



High-Speed Video Camera:



High-Speed Video Camera:

Negative CG flash:

- Video: 6+ strokes
- LIS: 1 fl, 27 gr, 76 ev
- LMA: 276 sources
- LIN: 6 ICs, 6 CGs
- ENT: 1 IC, 1 CG
- TLL: 8 strk
- GLD: 1 CG (=1st LIN strk)
- Not detected by ATD, STA, WWL
- All networks detected negative peak currents (-24.3 kA to -4.3 kA)



Conclusions

- Different LLS measure different parts of the lightning process (thus they are complementary to each other)
- Most of strokes/pulses/sources occurred after first LMA source:
 - VHF measurements → more "virgin" processes of the breakdown
 - ELF-LF measurements \rightarrow come from the return strokes
- VHF interferometry, generally, source detection comes after the first LMA source [Mazur et al., 1997; Cummins and Murphy, 2009]:
 - TLV (interferometry) → Fast-propagating (10⁶-10⁷ ms⁻¹) processes (fairly continuous on VHF emissions for tens of ms e.g., dart leaders, streamers)
 - LMA (time-of-arrival) → Preferably breakdown processes (10⁴-10⁵ ms⁻¹)
- High-speed video:
 - All LF-networks and LMA detected at least one stroke
 - Only GLD360 (VLF) detected one stroke

Future work that could contribute to GLM/LI Cal/Val activities GoAmazon IOP2 (2014-09-01 to 2014-10-04)

50

number of LINET strokes

20

(UTC) 15

- LINET operated in Manaus from 29 Aug 2014 – 07 Oct 2014 (ACRIDICON-CHUVA)
 - Intercomparisons between LINET, STARNET and LIS



LINET will be operating in Sao Paulo from Sep 2015 – Apr 2016

Thank you for your attention!