Using the ECMWF model + IASI to evaluate FY-4A GIIRS

Christopher Burrows + Tony McNally

Christopher.Burrows@ecmwf.int

Spatial coverage and spectral range

This area is scanned **every 2 hours**.

Region comprises 240x224 pixels.

For each pixel, radiances from **1650 channels** are measured.





O-Bs: 736.25 cm^{-1}: systematic errors are present.



O-Bs: 1875 cm^{-1}: Ignoring unphysical band, data look good.



Apodisation

Date	Apodised?
... until Aug 12 2019	Unapodised
Aug 13 2019 to Aug 28 2019	Apodised
Since Aug 29 2019 ...	Unapodised
Later in 2019...?	Apodised?

The RTTOV coefficients we have access to (from SSEC/CMA) assume Hamming-apodisation.

When the observations are unapodised, Hamming-apodisation is easy to apply in spectral space:

$$L_{apod}[i] = 0.23L[i-1] + 0.54L[i] + 0.23L[i+1]$$

Unapodised observations as received (single spectrum):



After apodisation has been applied:



ECMWF

Zooming in, a spectral shift is seen when comparing observations with RT simulations

Before correction



After correction



ECMWF

Diagnosed shift for each of the 128 detectors (version 2 processing)

The shift is defined by the parameter 'PPM' which scales the wavenumber axis.

$$\nu_{new} = (1 + PPM \times 10^{-6})\, \nu_{orig}$$



Detector array numbering

1	33	65	97
2	34	66	98
3	35	67	99
4	36	68	100
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
.	.	.	.
32	64	96	128

These PPM values were derived independently using CrIS/IASI SNOs (by Bob Knuteson at SSEC) and also using O-Bs from the ECMWF model.

Many thanks to Bob Knuteson for sharing his resampling algorithm.

The importance of correcting the shift

Before:

Compared to the RT simulations, the observed brightness temperatures are systematically cold by up to 10K.



After:

The systematic bias is largely removed (ignoring cloudy regions).



ECMWF

Latest news…

- CMA have recently improved the algorithms, resulting in significantly smaller spectral shifts.



- implemented in V3 of the processing on 8th Nov 2019.

Successful first 4D-Var assimilation of GIIRS radiances

- A subset of long-wave channels has been assimilated for ~3 weeks.
- Still to be optimised:
  - Observation errors.
  - Channel selection.
  - Cloud screening parameters.
  - Aerosol detection.
  - VarBC predictors.
  - Thinning.
- Until these are refined, it is impossible to make a conclusive statement about the impact.



A systematic warming is seen in the analyses, in the vicinity of the GIIRS domain.

It is anticipated that the most significant impact will arise from wind-tracing of water vapour information within the 4D-Var.

Summary

- GIIRS observations show a number of systematic issues when compared to model simulations, although some channels look good.

- Spectral shifts have been diagnosed using model simulations, and are fairly consistent with those produced using CrIS/IASI co-locations.

- Correcting the spectral shift is currently an essential processing step (should be fixed in V3).

- An initial 4D-Var assimilation experiment has demonstrated that analysis increments are being applied where they are expected, although several factors of the assimilation methodology require further refinement.

- Gaining experience in the use of GIIRS data will prepare us well for MTG-IRS.