

Discussion on the spectroscopy

C. Camy-Peyret

IPSL (Sorbonne University/UVSQ) Paris, France

<claude.camy-peyret@upmc.fr>

Outline

- Spectral bands covered by MTG-IRS
- Consistency with other infrared sounders
- Line-by-line parameters
- Cross-sections
- H₂O continuum
- Outlook

Spectral bands covered by MTG-IRS

Band	Nominal extent (limits in cm^{-1})	Filter extent (limits in cm^{-1})	Main absorbers	Trace species + <i>weak contributors</i>
LWIR	700-1210	600-1300	CO_2 , H_2O , O_3	CCl_4 , HCFC-22, HNO_3 , CFC-12, CFC-11, NH_3 , C_2H_4 , SF_6 , N_2O , HDO, CH_4 , PAN + SO_2 , CH_3OH , HCOOH , other COVs...
MWIR	1600-2175	1500-2250	H_2O , CO_2	CO , HNO_3 , OCS, N_2O , + NO_2 , NO

Basic L2 products

$\text{CO}_2 \rightarrow \text{T}(p)$

$\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O}(p)$

Important potential L2 products of MTG-IRS

for tropospheric composition/chemistry: O_3 , CO , NH_3

\rightarrow complement other infrared sounders (IASI, IASI-NG) for diurnal variations at high spatial resolution

Other interesting/important species could be envisioned at Day-2: PAN, SO_2 , HNO_3

Consistency with other infrared sounders

- The NWP fast radiative transfer models (**RTTOV**,...) are generally based on **LBLRTM** (maintained by **AER**) and are using the successive versions of **HITRAN** complemented with dedicated AER spectroscopic data bases
- Several retrieval groups generating L2 products from L1 spectra (EUMETSAT, ULB/LATMOS, RAL, KIT, UniBas, BIRA-IASB, ACE-FTS, ...) often use HITRAN as input of their **forward radiative transfer model** (LBLRTM, Atmosphit, FORLI, KOPRA, σ -IASI, ASIMUT, MUSICA, LARA, ...)
 - **last update HITRAN 2018**
- GEISA and 4AOP are used by CNES for IASI and IASI-NG

Line-by-line parameters (1/2)

Line-by-line spectroscopic databases (for well identified lines, i.e. the ones confirmed by theoretical calculations and not just empirically measured/observed) are providing for a given molecular species and isotopologue (for example $\text{imol}=1$ for H_2O , with three isotopes of interest for the Earth's atmosphere i.e. $\text{iso}=1$ for H_2^{18}O , $\text{iso}=2$ for H_2^{16}O , $\text{iso}=3$ for H_2^{17}O and $\text{iso}=4$ for HDO or $\text{imol}=2$ for CO_2 , with 6 isotopic variants relevant for the Earth' atmosphere) the following **spectroscopic parameters**:

- Line position (at zero pressure) in cm^{-1}
- Line intensity $S(T_{\text{ref}})$ at $T_{\text{ref}}=296$ K in $\text{cm}^{-1}/(\text{molecule cm}^{-2})$
- Lower state energy E'' in cm^{-1}
- Air-broadening coefficient $\gamma_{\text{air}}(T_{\text{ref}})$ in $\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$
- Exponent n_{air} of its temperature dependence $\gamma_{\text{air}}(T) = \gamma_{\text{air}}(T_{\text{ref}})(T_{\text{ref}}/T)^{n_{\text{air}}}$
- Air-shifting coefficient $\delta_{\text{air}}(T_{\text{ref}})$ in $\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$ (+ T dependence exponent)

For H_2O (a special case because of high tropospheric abundance in the lower layers)

- Self-broadening coefficient $\gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T_{\text{ref}})$ in $\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$
- Exponent $n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ of its temperature dependence $\gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T) = \gamma_{\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T_{\text{ref}})(T_{\text{ref}}/T)^{n_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}}$
- Self-shifting coefficient $\delta_{\text{H}_2\text{O}-\text{H}_2\text{O}}(T_{\text{ref}})$ in $\text{cm}^{-1}/\text{atm}$ (+ T dependence exponent)

Line-by-line parameters (2/2)

Additional information is including:

- **Quantum assignments** (confirmed by analysis and theoretical modelling of laboratory spectra) including the symmetry of the upper and lower ro-vibrational levels involved in the transition
- **Nuclear spin statistical weights** (needed in the line mixing formalisms or for non-LTE)
- **Quality indicators** of the different line-by-line spectroscopic parameters
- **Reference codes** for the origin of these parameters
- **Line-mixing (LM) indicator** linking to another sub-table (when LM is important i.e CO₂)

As an example the current version of σ -IASI (UniBas) is using **LBLRTM 12.1** combined with **HITRAN2012 + AER v_3.2**

C. Camy-Peyret, G. Liuzzi, G. Masiello, C. Serio, S. Venafra, S.A. Montzka (2017), Assessment of IASI capability for retrieving carbonyl sulphide (**OCS**). Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer 201, 197-208

Cross-sections

For the so-called “**heavy molecules**” for which a line-by-line description of their spectrum is not available (by theoretical calculations) or not feasible (by nature or because the number of lines is too large), the approach is to **empirically measure the spectra** of the absorbing species (pure and in mixture with air) at **different temperature, pressure** (and sometimes lengths for checking purposes).

One gets then look-up-tables (LUT) providing the cross-section $\sigma(p_{\text{air}}, T, \omega n)$ in unit of $\text{cm}^2/\text{molecule}$

p_{air} = air pressure (in atm or hPa) having a broadening effect on the spectral features (Q branches in particular)

T = temperature (in K)

ωn = wavenumber (in cm^{-1})

Species relevant to **IRS** are **HCFC-22** (821 cm^{-1}), **CFC-11** ($\sim 850 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), **CFC-12** ($\sim 922 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\sim 1160 \text{ cm}^{-1}$), **SF₆** (948 cm^{-1}), **CCl₄** ($\sim 792 \text{ cm}^{-1}$)

The corresponding data is available as a supplement to the successive HITRAN versions. **New laboratory measurements** by J.J. Harrison (Univ. Leicester)

A difficulty is usually the “purely experimental” data: what to do with the noise and/or negative transmittance?

Another one is the **interpolation needed in p_{air} and T** and the insufficient coverage

H₂O continuum

This is a very important issue for IRS (and for other TIR sounders like IASI, CrIS, AIRS, ...). The question is related to the **description of the wings of H₂O lines**: how far should one use the Voigt lineshape (or new line shape models) for individual water lines? What is the contribution of the **far-wings** when the **standard line profile is cut at 25 cm⁻¹** (the usual assumption). The remaining contribution is assigned to the “**water vapour continuum**”. The “reference” model (almost used by everyone, but based on empirical measurements including satellite data) is MT-CKD v_2.5.2.

The absorption coefficient can be written in terms of a cross-section (in cm²/molecule) as:

$$\sigma_{\text{H}_2\text{O-continuum}}(p_{\text{air}}, p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}, T, \text{wn})$$

p_{air} = air pressure (in atm or hPa)

$p_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ = water vapour partial pressure (in atm or hPa)

T = temperature (in K)

wn = wavenumber (in cm⁻¹)

Distinction between **foreign continuum** and **self continuum** → difference between tropics and poles

Very important topic of **consistency between line parameters** (Voigt + new line shape) and **continuum parameters** → the two sets of parameters have to consistently reproduce the existing experimental data (temperature and humidity dependence) on the continuum and the experimental/theoretical data on individual lines

A new H₂O line profile

- A new H₂O line profile is variously described as the partially-Correlated quadratic-Speed-Dependent Hard-Collision Profile (pCqSDHCP) or the partially-Correlated quadratic-Speed-Dependent Nelkin–Ghatak Profile (pCqSDNGP). This profile, and its computational implementation, is called the **Hartmann–Tran profile** (HTP)
- More work is needed to combine this new line profile with the existing experimental data on the water vapour continuum and the existing MT-CKD parameterization, to produce a new consistent representation of the contribution of the line core and near-wing (LBL) and of the continuum (cross-section)
- Is the **$\pm 25 \text{ cm}^{-1}$** limit still a good choice? Is it valid everywhere and/or for every line?
- Is the HTP model **valid over the full electromagnetic spectrum** from the MW/sub-mm, FIR, LWIR, SWIR, VIS?

Outlook (1/2)

- Infrared spectroscopy, in the sense of **availability of accurate and extensive spectroscopic data bases** is a major input for all **radiative transfer models**, hence for **all infrared sounders** → need for coordinated efforts
- **IRS** is on the same footing as **IASI, IASI-NG**, CrIS, ... and for consistency reasons within Europe (including ECMWF and EUMETSAT) one should try to use consistently similar/identical spectroscopic data bases
- **HITRAN** is well maintained and is benefiting from support within the USA for improving the **laboratory and theoretical spectroscopic work for infrared remote sensing**
- However, a recent focus has been on the **SWIR** region (OCO-2, GOSAT, S5P) rather than on the **TIR**
- Some support has been provided by **ESA** through ITTs on dedicated spectroscopic studies for **S5P** (SWIR)
- A new ITT has been launched by **ESA** for **TIR** studies (**TIR/SWIR** synergy)

Outlook (2/2)

- What could be the support of **EUMETSAT** for joint efforts on **new** and **needed spectroscopic work** related to **IRS** (and **IASI/IASI-NG**)?
- Certainly more work on the **spectroscopy of H₂O** (broadening coefficients and their temperature dependence, line shape, continuum)
- Is the new **Hartmann and Tran** line shape appropriate for both the core and the far wings i.e. for a consistent model of the H₂O lines and the continuum?
- Approach to identify where new spectroscopic effort is needed:
 - Examine **spectral residuals** (and **ensemble means**) after OEM retrievals → identify which species is responsible and which parameter to update/improve
 - Do this both for **satellite spectra** and for higher resolution spectra recorded from the ground in **solar absorption by FTS** within the **NDACC** stations → example of the work on H₂O line intensity/width/shape by M. Schneider et al. from the **Izana station**